

# Laplace's Equation

## The Fundamental Solution and Green's Function

John McCuan

March 24, 2021

We have covered some elementary initial properties<sup>1</sup> of **harmonic functions**, that is, functions satisfying Laplace's PDE  $\Delta u = 0$ . These mostly followed from the **mean value properties** and included the (strong) maximum principle and higher (interior) regularity. Now we complement this discussion with some observations about the natural boundary value problem, or **Dirichlet problem**, for Laplace's equation:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{U} \\ u|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} = g. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here the set  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open (often bounded) subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the operator is, of course, the Laplace operator

$$\Delta u = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2},$$

and  $\partial\mathcal{U}$  is the boundary of the domain  $\mathcal{U}$  defined by

$$\partial\mathcal{U} = \bar{\mathcal{U}} \cap \bar{\mathcal{U}}^c$$

as usual. We are looking, at least initially, for a **classical solution**  $u : \bar{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$u \in C^2(\mathcal{U}) \cap C^0(\bar{\mathcal{U}}).$$

## 1 Boundary Values

We have no trouble making sense of **continuous boundary values**  $g : \partial\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  since  $\partial\mathcal{U}$  is a metric space with the inherited distance from the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\partial\mathcal{U}$ . If we want higher regularity, however, then generally we may want to impose additional regularity on the set  $\partial\mathcal{U}$  requiring  $\partial\mathcal{U}$  to be a differentiable or  $C^1$  curve of  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , a smooth surface if  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and some kind of smooth hypersurface if  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n > 3$ . One way to avoid all the technicalities of such a discussion (at least in part) is to simply require the boundary values  $g$  to be defined with certain regularity on a larger (full dimension) set containing  $\partial\mathcal{U}$ . For example, we could consider  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and then it is understood that the function  $g$  appearing in (1) is a restriction of such a boundary value function  $g$  to  $\partial\mathcal{U}$ . Thus, to make (1) properly stated we should write

$$u|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} = g|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}.$$

This is what we should do if we want to be careful and proper. In practice, this is almost never done, though it is often understood that  $g$  is defined in a full dimension set containing  $\partial\mathcal{U}$ .

In particular, one very important special instance of this is going to be considered below, so at least we will have mentioned it, and you will know what's going on.

---

<sup>1</sup>These may be found in the notes on "Integration and the Divergence."

## 2 Fundamental Solution

The function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |\mathbf{x}|$$

is called the **fundamental solution** of Laplace's equation for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Notice this function is not defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , but it has a **singularity** at the origin  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . In the punctured plane, however, it is easy to see that

$$\Delta\Phi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

The function  $u(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w})$  is also harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{w}\}$  with singularity (translated to)  $\mathbf{w}$ .

Similarly, the function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|^{n-2}}$$

is the fundamental solution for Laplace's equation for  $n \geq 3$ .

In all cases,  $\Phi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , so given a function  $f \in C^0_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the convolution integral

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{w})\Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w})$$

defines a function  $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Of course, you have to prove the regularity of  $v$ , but it's not so difficult, and then you will find

$$-\Delta(f * \Phi) = f.$$

This is pretty nice: The fundamental solution of Laplace's equation gives us a bunch<sup>2</sup> of solutions of Poisson's equation. These solutions are not immediately connected to any particular boundary values in any way, but we'll make a connection in the next section.

## 3 The Boundary Value Problem for Laplace's Equation

Now, say we have  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and we want to solve (1). A first observation is that if we could solve the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = f & \text{on } \mathcal{U} \\ v|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} \equiv 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

for Poisson's equation for all  $f \in C^0(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$ , then we can solve (1). To see this, set

$$f = \Delta g.$$

Since  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we know  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^0(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$ . Thus if  $v$  is the solution of (2), for this choice of  $f$ , then  $u = v + g$  satisfies

$$\Delta u = \Delta v + \Delta g = -f + f = 0$$

and

$$u|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} = v|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} + g|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} = g.$$

The key observation associated with the Green's function is that one does not need to be able to solve (2) for every  $f \in C^0(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$ , or equivalently, one does not need to be able to solve (1) for every  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Important technical term.

## 4 Green's Function

The Green's function is a function **associated with a particular domain**  $\mathcal{U}$  and depending on  $2n$  variables. More precisely, the Green's function is a function  $G : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  given by

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}) - \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}) - h(\mathbf{x})$$

where  $h(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$  is a **harmonic corrector function** satisfying the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta h = 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{U} \\ h(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}) & \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial\mathcal{U}. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The claim is: If you can solve the boundary values problems (3), then you can solve (1) for every  $g \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In fact, the formula for the solution is

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = - \int_{\mathbf{w} \in \partial\mathcal{U}} g(\mathbf{w}) DG(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad (4)$$

where

$$DG = \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n} \right)$$

and  $\mathbf{n}$  is the outward unit normal to  $\mathcal{U}$  along  $\partial\mathcal{U}$ . Of course, this requires that  $\partial\mathcal{U}$  be regular enough to have a well-defined outward unit normal at least as a domain of integration (i.e., a set of measure zero consisting of edges and corners and such is okay).

Notice the main point: If you can solve (1) for

$$g \in \{\Phi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}) : \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

then you can solve (1) for all  $g \in C^0(\partial\mathcal{U})$ .

**Exercise 1** *For what class of inhomogeneities  $f$  does one need to be able to solve (2) in order to construct the Green's function for a domain  $\mathcal{U}$ ?*

Of course, it requires a (careful) computation to show the function  $u$  given in (4) performs the feat we have ascribed to it, namely that by this formula we obtain a solution  $u \in C^2(\mathcal{U}) \cap C^0(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$  of (1). Without too much more work one can also prove the following generalization:

**Theorem 1** *(solution of the Dirichlet problem for Poisson's equation) If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C^2$  boundary and*

1.  $f \in C^0(\mathcal{U})$ , and
2.  $g \in C^0(\partial\mathcal{U})$ ,

then

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = - \int_{\mathbf{w} \in \partial\mathcal{U}} g(\mathbf{w}) DG(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{n} - \int_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{U}} f(\mathbf{w}) G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$$

satisfies  $v \in C^2(\mathcal{U}) \cap C^0(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$  and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = f & \text{on } \mathcal{U} \\ v|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} = g. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$