

# Assignment 2A: Linear Spaces

## Due Thursday September 17, 2026

John McCuan

In the following problems a **linear space**  $W$  over a field  $F$  is an Abelian group under addition for which there exists a scaling  $\sigma : F \times W \rightarrow W$ , with  $\sigma(\mu, v)$  denoted  $\mu v$  for  $\mu \in F$  and  $v \in W$ , and for which the following conditions hold:

(LS1)  $(\mu_1\mu_2)v = \mu_1(\mu_2v)$  for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in F$  and  $v \in W$ .

(LS2)  $1v = v$  for every  $v \in W$  where 1 is the multiplicative identity in  $F$ .

(LS3)  $(\mu_1 + \mu_2)v = \mu_1v + \mu_2v$  for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in F$  and  $v \in W$ .

(LS4)  $\mu(v_1 + v_2) = \mu v_1 + \mu v_2$  for all  $\mu \in F$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in W$ .

**Problem 1** Prove that in a linear space  $W$  over a field  $F$  there holds

$$0v = \mathbf{0}$$

where  $0 \in F$  is the additive identity in the field,  $v \in W$  is any element, and  $\mathbf{0} \in W$  is the zero element in the linear space.

**Problem 2** Consider the field  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$  with two elements.

(a) Write down the addition and multiplication tables for  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Hint  $1 + 1 = 0$ .

(b) Find the multiplicative group of invertible elements.

(c) Find  $\mathbb{F}_2 \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Problem 3** (cardinality) The empty set  $\phi$  has no elements. The set  $\{\phi\}$  has one element. The number of elements in a set  $S$  is called the **cardinality** of  $S$  and is denoted  $\#(S)$ .

(a) Find

$$\# \left( \left\{ \phi, \{\phi\} \right\} \right).$$

(b) The quantity in part (a) may also be denoted more “compactly” by

$$\# \left\{ \phi, \{\phi\} \right\}$$

where the round parentheses have been omitted. This is also the same thing as  $\#\{\phi, \{\phi\}\}$ . Find  $\#\{\phi, \{\phi\}, \{\phi, \{\phi\}\}\}$ .

Consider the following “standard” sets:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{\phi\} \\ S_2 &= \{\phi, S_1\} \\ S_3 &= \{\phi, S_1, S_2\} \\ &\vdots \\ S_n &= \{\phi\} \cup \{S_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

These particular sets are also called **ordinal sets**,<sup>1</sup> and they provide a standard for determining the number of elements in certain sets. A set  $S$  is said to have **finite cardinality** and one writes  $\#(S) < \infty$  if for some  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  there exists a bijection  $\beta : S \rightarrow S_n$ .

One also writes in this case  $\#(S) = n$  and says the cardinality of  $S$  is  $n$ .

(c) Determine an appropriate natural number  $n$  and find a bijection  $\beta : S \rightarrow S_n$  for the following sets  $S$  of finite cardinality:

(i)  $S = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : -500 < m < 1001\}$  (Remember  $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots\}$  denotes the integers.)

---

<sup>1</sup>...or ordinal numbers. These are not all the standard ordinal **numbers**, but they are helpful for counting. If you want to count other quantities (i.e., determine other cardinalities) perhaps it will be helpful for you to learn additional ordinals.

- (ii)  $S = \{(m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m_1^2 + m_2^2 < 5\}$ . Remember  $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \{(m_1, m_2) : m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  denotes the set of ordered pairs of integers.
- (iii)  $S = \{(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 < 5\}$ .

**Problem 4** A nonempty set  $S$  is said to have **infinite cardinality** if  $\#(S)$  is not finite. A set  $S$  is said to be **countable** if there is a bijection  $\beta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$ .

- (a) Show  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is countable. That is, find a bijection  $\beta : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .
- (b) Show  $\mathbb{Z}$  is countable.
- (c) Show  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is countable.

**Problem 5** Given a field  $F$ , the **polynomial ring**  $F[X]$  is defined by

$$F[X] = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n : F \ni a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \text{ and } \#\{n : a_n \neq 0\} < \infty \right\}.$$

A polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$$

in  $F[X]$  is uniquely determined by the coefficients, that is, if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n$$

are polynomials in  $F[X]$ , then the **definition of equality** is

$$a_n = b_n \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The **operation of addition** on  $F[X]$  is defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) X^n.$$

The **operation of multiplication** on  $F[X]$  is defined by

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n X^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^n a_{\ell} b_{n-\ell} \right) X^n.$$

The **scaling** of a polynomial by a scalar  $\mu \in F$  is defined by

$$\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu a_n X^n.$$

The **scalars**  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  in  $F$  for a given polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$$

are called the **coefficients** of the polynomial. A polynomial

$$0X^0 + 0X^1 + \dots + aX^k + 0X^{k+1} + 0X^{k+2} + \dots$$

with only one nonzero coefficient  $a$  is called a **monomial** and may be written simply as

$$aX^k.$$

More generally a monomial  $a_n X^n$  appearing in a polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$$

is called a **term** in the polynomial.

The following is a somewhat subtle point: The “formal symbol”  $X$  used in the definition of the polynomial ring  $F[X]$  does not denote an element of  $F$ , so the term  $aX^n$  does not denote an operation combining  $a$  and  $X^n$  nor does the formal symbol  $X^n$  denote any operation(s) involving  $X$ . More properly, there are countably many formal symbols  $X^0, X^1, X^2, X^3, \dots$  involved in the definition of  $F[X]$ , but no algebraic operations are defined on the formal symbols independent of those defined on the polynomial ring  $F[X]$  as described above. Nevertheless the following formal conventions in writing polynomials are usually employed:

- (i) The formal symbol  $X^0$  is often omitted. Technically, this does not mean  $X^0 = 1$ , though the coefficient of the term  $1X^0$  is  $1 \in F$ , and the term may be written as 1 using only the coefficient.
- (ii) If the coefficient in a term  $a_n X^n$  is  $0 \in F$ , that is the coefficient  $a_n = 0$  is the additive identity in  $F$ , then the entire term is often omitted.

- (iii) The formal symbol  $X^1$  is often denoted by  $X$ .
- (iv) If  $n > 0$  and the coefficient in a term  $a_n X^n$  is  $1 \in F$ , that is the coefficient is the multiplicative identity in  $F$ , then the coefficient is omitted.

Using these conventions, the polynomials

$$1X^0 + 1X^1 + 0X^2 + 1X^3 + 0X^4 + 0X^5 + \dots$$

and

$$1X^0 + 0X^1 + 0X^2 + 0X^3 + 0X^4 + 1X^5 + 0X^6 + 0X^7 + \dots$$

may be expressed simply as

$$1 + X + X^3 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + X^5.$$

Similarly, the zero polynomial is just written as 0 and for any other polynomial  $P$  in  $F[X] \setminus \{0\}$  there is some  $k$  for which that polynomial can be written

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n X^n$$

with  $a_k \neq 0$ . For such a nonzero polynomial  $P$ , the **degree** is defined to be the number  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  (for which  $a_k \neq 0$  and  $a_{k+1} = a_{k+2} = a_{k+3} = \dots = 0$ ). Note I have not written  $P(X)$  here using function notation, A (formal) polynomial in  $F[X]$  is not a function.

(a) Show  $F[X]$  is a linear space<sup>2</sup> over  $F$ .

A subset  $S$  of a linear space  $W$  over a field  $F$  is a **subspace** of  $W$  if the operations on  $W$  restricted to  $S$ , and the scaling of elements of  $W$  by elements of  $F$  restricted to  $S$  make  $S$  a linear space over  $F$ .

To see a subset  $S$  of a linear space  $W$  is a subspace of  $W$  requires the nominal verification of many conditions. One must show, first of all, the operation of addition on  $W$  restricted to  $S$  is an operation on  $S$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>In fact,  $F[X]$  is isomorphic, i.e., linear isomorphic if one knows what that means, to the linear space  $F_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  consisting of sequences  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$  with  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \in F$  with  $\#\{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \neq 0\} < \infty$  and componentwise addition and scaling. The linear space  $F_0^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a subspace of the linear space  $F^{\mathbb{N}}$  of all sequences with entries in  $F$ . Note the familiar finite dimensional spaces like  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

- (b) (i) Show for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the operation of multiplication on  $F[X]$ , when restricted to the subset

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in F[X] : a_{k+1} = a_{k+2} = a_{k+3} = \cdots = 0 \right\} \quad (1)$$

is **not** an operation on this subset. What about when  $k = 0$ ?

Hint: If you have trouble with this part (i), go on to part (ii) and come back to this part.

- (ii) Show the operation of addition when restricted to

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in F[X] : a_{k+1} = a_{k+2} = a_{k+3} = \cdots = 0 \right\}$$

is an operation on the subset.

Polynomials in the subset of  $F[X]$  appearing in (1) are called **polynomials of order no more than  $k$** , and the set is denoted by

$$F_k[X] = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in F[X] : a_{k+1} = a_{k+2} = a_{k+3} = \cdots = 0 \right\}.$$

Note  $F_k[X] \times F_k[X]$  is a subset of  $F[X] \times F[X]$ , so it makes sense to restrict the addition of polynomials to  $F_k[X]$ :

$$+ : F_k[X] \times F_k[X] \rightarrow F[X].$$

The question you must ask yourself here is the following: When two polynomials  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  in  $F_k[X]$  are added using the addition in  $F[X]$ , one knows from part (a) above that the sum  $P_1 + P_2$  is in  $F[X]$ , but is it true that  $P_1 + P_2 \in F_k[X]$  so that the codomain of the addition may also be made smaller

$$+ : F_k[X] \times F_k[X] \rightarrow F_k[X] \quad ?$$

(Note the answer was “no” in part (i) just above for multiplication.)

Part (ii) just above is the verification that the operation of addition is **closed** on  $F_k[X]$ . One also says simply that the subset  $F_k[X]$  is **closed** under addition.

- (iii) Verify the addition  $+ : F_k[X] \times F_k[X] \rightarrow F_k[X]$  makes  $F_k[X]$  an Abelian group. Hint: The field  $F$  is an Abelian group.

The next step in the verification that  $F_k[X]$  is a subspace of  $F[X]$  is showing the scaling on  $F[X]$  when restricted to  $F_k[X]$  gives a scaling by elements of the field  $F$  on  $F_k[X]$ , that is  $F_k[X]$  is **closed under scaling**. When that is done, one still needs to verify conditions **(LS1)**, **(LS2)**, **(LS3)**, **(LS4)** in the definition of a linear space given above.

(iv) Show that in general if a subset  $S$  of a linear space  $W$  is nonempty, closed under addition and closed under scaling, then  $S$  is a subspace of  $W$ .

(c) Is  $F_k[X]$  a ring?

**Problem 6** (finite and infinite dimension) From Problem 5 above, you should be familiar with (or at least know about) the linear space  $F[X]$  of polynomials with coefficients in a field  $F$  and the subspace  $F_k[X]$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (a) Find  $k + 1$  polynomials  $P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k$  in  $F_k[X]$  for which every polynomial  $P \in F_k[X]$  may be expressed as

$$P = \sum_{\ell=0}^k b_\ell P_\ell. \quad (2)$$

- (b) Are the polynomials you found in part (a) above unique? That is, are they the only polynomials you might have found?

An expression like that contained in (2) is called a **linear combination** of the polynomials  $P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k$ . Like a polynomial itself the linear combination has **coefficients**  $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$ .

- (c) Show the collection

$$\left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^m b_\ell v_\ell : m \in \mathbb{N}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in F \text{ and } v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m \in S \right\} \quad (3)$$

is a subspace of a linear space  $W$  (over a field  $F$ ) whenever  $S$  is any subset of  $W$ . Hint: Use part (b)(iv) of Problem 5 above.

The subspace appearing in (3) is called the **span** of the set  $S$  and is denoted

$$\text{span}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^m b_\ell v_\ell : m \in \mathbb{N}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in F \text{ and } v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m \in S \right\}$$

If there is a subset  $S$  of linear space  $W$  with  $\#(S) < \infty$  and

$$\text{span}(S) = W,$$

then  $W$  is said to be **finitely generated** or **finite dimensional**.

- (d) Is  $F[X]$  finite dimensional?

A linear space which is not finite dimensional is said to be **infinite dimensional**.

**Problem 7** (spanning sets, Boas 3.8.2) A subset  $S$  of a linear space  $W$  is said to be a **spanning set** if  $\text{span}(S) = W$ . One of the most important facts about finite dimensional linear spaces is that all spanning sets  $S$  fall into two categories:

- (A) There exists a proper subset  $R$  of  $S$  for which  $\text{span}(R) = W$ . By **proper** one means  $R \subset S$  but  $R \neq S$ .
- (B) If one excludes a single element  $v$  of  $S$ , then  $R = S \setminus \{v\}$  is no longer a spanning set:  $\text{span}(S \setminus \{v\})$  is a proper subset (and a proper subspace) of  $W$ .

Recall that

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} : q \in \mathbb{N}, p \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is the field of rational numbers. Consider the linear space

$$W = \text{span}\{1 - 2X + 3X^2, 1 + X + X^2, -2 + X - 4X^2, 3 + 5X^2\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[X].$$

(a) Determine if each of the following sets is a spanning set for  $W$ .

- (i)  $\{1 + X + X^2, -2 + X - 4X^2, 3 + 5X^2\}$
- (ii)  $\{1 - 2X + 3X^2, -2 + X - 4X^2, 3 + 5X^2\}$
- (iii)  $\{1 - 2X + 3X^2, 1 + X + X^2, 3 + 5X^2\}$
- (iv)  $\{1 - 2X + 3X^2, 1 + X + X^2, -2 + X - 4X^2\}$
- (v)  $\{-2 + X - 4X^2, 3 + 5X^2\}$
- (vi)  $\{1 + X + X^2, 3 + 5X^2\}$
- (vii)  $\{1 + X + X^2, -2 + X - 4X^2\}$
- (viii)  $\{1 - 2X + 3X^2, 3 + 5X^2\}$
- (ix)  $\{1 - 2X + 3X^2, -2 + X - 4X^2\}$
- (x)  $\{1 - 2X + 3X^2, 1 + X + X^2\}$
- (xi)  $\{3 + 5X^2\}$
- (xii)  $\{-2 + X - 4X^2\}$
- (xiii)  $\{1 + X + X^2\}$
- (xiv)  $\{1 - 2X + 3X^2\}$

(b) For each spanning set for  $W$  you found in part (a) determine if that set is a category (A) spanning set or a category (B) spanning set.

**Problem 8** (spanning sets, Boas 3.8.2)

- (a) Find the cardinality of each category **(A)** spanning set you found in part **(b)** of Problem 7.
- (b) Find the cardinality of each category **(B)** spanning set you found in part **(b)** of Problem 7.
- (c) True or False: Every category **(A)** spanning set has the same number of elements.

**Problem 9** (evaluation of polynomials) Given any polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$$

in  $F[X]$ , there exists a unique function  $p : F \rightarrow F$  with values

$$p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n. \quad (4)$$

Note that we have defined (at least) two functions here. For each  $P \in F[X]$  we have defined a function  $p : F \rightarrow F$ .

But also (at another level) I have defined a function with domain the polynomials  $F[X]$  and codomain the collection of all functions  $f : F \rightarrow F$  (with domain  $F$  and codomain  $F$ ). Let us call the collection

$$\{f : f \text{ is a function from } F \text{ to } F\}$$

of all functions  $f : F \rightarrow F$

$$\text{Aut}(F) = \{f : f \text{ is a function from } F \text{ to } F\}.$$

These functions are also called the **automorphisms** of  $F$ , though the term automorphism may also be used in various restricted contexts. For example sometimes the term “automorphism” refers to linear functions with a fixed domain  $W$  and also with the codomain  $W$ , or “automorphism” might refer to a group homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow G$  from a group  $G$  to itself. The basic meaning is that the domain and codomain are the same.<sup>3</sup> In the present context, the domain and codomain are the same field  $F$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>Strange but true: The collection of automorphisms  $f : S \rightarrow S$  on a given set  $S$  is sometimes denoted by  $S^S$ .

Let us denote this second function by  $\Phi : F[X] \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$  with values

$$\Phi \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) = p$$

where  $p \in \text{Aut}(F)$  has values given in (4).

- (a) Define (natural) addition and scaling on  $\text{Aut}(F)$  so that  $\text{Aut}(F)$  is a linear space over  $F$ .

The range (or image)

$$\Phi(F(X)) = \left\{ \Phi \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \in F(X) \right\}$$

of  $\Phi$  is called the collection of **polynomial functions** on  $F$  and is denoted  $\mathcal{P}(F)$ .

Given an element  $x \in F$ , the **evaluation** of the polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$$

in  $F[X]$  is the value

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n.$$

That is, the evaluation if the value of

$$\Phi \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n \right)$$

at  $x \in F$ . Evaluate the following polynomials at  $0 \in F$  and  $1 \in F$ :

- (b)  $X + 4X^2$  with  $F = \mathbb{R}$ .  
(c)  $X + 4X^2$  with  $F = \mathbb{Z}_5 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

**Problem 10** (algebraically complete) Given a polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$$

in  $F[X]$  and an element  $x \in F$ , we say  $x$  is a **root** or **zero** of

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$$

if the evaluation of

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$$

at  $x$  is  $0 \in F$ .

(a) Find the roots of the following polynomials:

(i)  $X + X^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

(ii)  $-2 + X^2 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ .

(iii)  $X + X^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2[X]$ .

(b) A field  $F$  is said to be **algebraically complete** if every polynomial

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$$

in  $F[X]$  has a root in  $F$ . Determine if the following fields are algebraically complete:

(i)  $\mathbb{Q}$

(ii)  $\mathbb{R}$

(iii)  $\mathbb{Z}_2$

**Things to think about:**

1. (Problem 7) Given a finite dimensional linear space  $W$  is it possible to find two category **(B)** subsets with different numbers of elements?
2. (Problem 9) Is the collection of polynomial functions  $\mathcal{P}(F)$  a subspace of  $\text{Aut}(F)$ ?
3. (Problem 9) Can you give an example of a field  $F$  for which  $\mathcal{P}(F)$  is infinite dimensional? How do you know your example is infinite dimensional?
4. (Problem 9) Can you give an example of a field for which  $\mathcal{P}(F)$  is finite dimensional?
5. (Problem 9) Note that by definition  $\Phi : F[X] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(F)$  is surjective. Can you give an example of a field  $F$  for which  $\Phi : F[X] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(F)$  is not injective?