Math 6341, Final Exam: Various Topics (practice)

- 1. (25 points) (3.5.9-10, Hamilton-Jacobi PDE)
 - (a) Find the convex dual (Legendre transform) $L = H^*$ for the Hamiltonian

$$H(p) = |p|^3.$$

(b) Write down the Hopf-Lax formula and explain its relation to the variational problem of minimizing

$$\int_0^t L(w'(\sigma)) \, d\sigma + u_0(w(0))$$

for a given Lagrangian L and initial function u_0 .

(c) Find a weak solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi IVP

$$\begin{cases} u_t + |u_x|^3 = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty) \\ u(x,0) = |x|. \end{cases}$$

(d) Is the weak solution you found unique? (Explain)

Solution:

(a) Recall that

$$H^*(v) = \max_{p} \{ p \cdot v - |p|^3 \}.$$

Taking the gradient with respect to p to find a critical point, we get

v - 3|p|p = 0.

It follows that $|p| = \sqrt{|v|/3}$ and therefore the critical point is $p = v/\sqrt{3|v|}$. The resulting maximum value is

$$L(v) = |v|^{3/2} / \sqrt{3} - (|v|/3)^{3/2} = c|v|^{3/2}$$

where $c = 2/(3\sqrt{3})$.

As a partial aside, the Legendre transform is well-defined if $H(p) = |p|^3$ is convex and

$$\lim_{|p|\to\infty}\frac{|p|^3}{|p|} = +\infty.$$

The second condition clearly holds. One way to check convexity, is to compute the Hessian D^2H , and consider the quadratic form $\langle D^2H\xi,\xi\rangle$. In fact, $H_{p_i} = 3p_i|p|$, and

$$H_{p_i p_j} = 3\left(\delta_{ij}|p| + \frac{p_i p_j}{|p|}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{ij} H_{p_i p_j} \xi_i \xi_j = 3\left(|p||\xi|^2 + \frac{1}{|p|} \sum p_i p_j \xi_i \xi_j \right) \ge 3(|p| - |p|)|\xi|^2 > 0.$$

This means D^2H is positive semidefinite, which means H is convex. Taking $p_1 = -p_2$ and all the other $p_j = 0$ and $\xi_1 = \xi_2$ with all the other $\xi_j = 0$, we find

$$\sum_{ij} H_{p_i p_j} \xi_i \xi_j = 3 \left(|p| |\xi|^2 - \frac{1}{|p|} \frac{|p|^2 |\xi|^2}{2} \right) = \frac{3|p| |\xi|^2}{2}.$$

This indicates that H is not uniformly convex around p = 0.

Notice also that the expressions for H_{p_i} and $H_{p_ip_j}$ are continuous, so $H \in C^2$. I believe this is enough regularity (and H is even more regular) to make the arguments in our introduction to Hamilton-Jacobi equations, though in the discussion of the Hopf-Lax formula and weak solutions (p. 123) we formally assumed H was "smooth" which presumably means C^{∞} .

(b) If $L, u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are given with L convex and satisfying

$$\lim_{|v| \to \infty} \frac{L(v)}{|v|} = +\infty$$

and u_0 Lipschitz continuous, then

$$u(x,t) = \min_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ tL\left(\frac{x-\xi}{t}\right) + u_0(\xi) \right\}$$

is the value of

$$\inf_{w \in C^1[0,t]} \left\{ \int_0^t L(w'(\sigma)) \, d\sigma + u_0(w(0)) : w(t) = x \right\}.$$

(c) According to part (a), the solution should be given by the Hopf-Lax formula

$$u(x,t) = \min_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2t}{3\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{t}\right)^{3/2} + |\xi|$$
$$= \min_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3t}} |x-\xi|^{3/2} + |\xi|.$$

Taking the gradient (derivative since n = 1) with respect to ξ to find critical points, we have

$$-\sqrt{\frac{|x-\xi|}{3t}}\frac{|x-\xi|}{|x-\xi|} + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} = 0.$$

It follows that any critical ξ satisfies

$$|x - \xi| = 3t.$$

In particular, any associated minimum value will satisfy

$$u(x,t) = 2t + |\xi|.$$
 (1)

Also in this case, we see that $\xi = x \pm 3t$. Thus, we find an initial candidate

$$u_1(x,t) = \begin{cases} 2t + |x - 3t|, & x \ge 0\\ 2t + |x + 3t|, & x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

There is another possibility, however. Namely, if we look at the gradient calculation above, there may be singular minima associated with $|\xi| = 0$ or $|x - \xi| = 0$. These possibilities give

$$u_2(x,t) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3t}}|x|^{3/2}$$
 and $u_3(x,t) = |x|$.

Thus, the Hopf-Lax formula reduces to

$$u(x,t) = \min_{t>0} \{ u_1(x,t), u_t(x,t), u_3(x,t) \}.$$

If we consider first the region where x > 3t, we find

$$u_1 = x - t < u_3 = x$$
 and $u_2 = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3t}}x^{3/2}$.

Thus, u_3 is ruled out in this region (which we should expect since u_3 doesn't satisfy the equation (n.b., Theorem 5), and near t = 0 we see that u_1 definitely provides the minimum. Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[u_1 - u_2] = -1 + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{3/2}.$$

It will be found that this expression has a unique zero at x = 3t where $u_1 = 2t = u_2$. Thus, u_1 is the minimum in the region x > 3t, and u takes the value 2t along the line x = 3t.

Moving into the region x < 3t, we see that $u_1 = 5t - x$ ceases to be a solution of the PDE, and not surprisingly, we can see that it does not provide the minimum value. In fact, we still have $u_1 = u_2$ along x = 3t, and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[u_1 - u_2] = 5 + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{3/2} > 0.$$

Thus, we need only make the comparison between u_2 (the presumed solution) and $u_3 = x$. As noted above, $x = u_3 > u_1 = u_2$ along x = 3t. Furthermore, setting $u_2 = u_3$ yields x = (27/4)t which is actually in the region x > 3t. We conclude that $u_2 < u_3$ in the region x < 3t and (with a similar analysis for $x \le 0$)

$$u(x,t) = \begin{cases} -t + |x|, & |x| \ge 3t \\ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3t}} |x|^{3/2}, & |x| < 3t \end{cases}$$

On the smooth regions, this solves the PDE in accordance with Theorem 5, though at least formally, we assumed H was smooth in the proof of that theorem, and the H considered in this problem has an apparent singularity at the origin—though I guess it is at least C^3 .

(d) Uniqueness of the weak solution follows from uniform convexity of H or semiconcavity of $u_0(x) = |x|$. (Theorem 8). Since |x| is not semi-concave, we compute

$$H_{pp} = (3p|p|)' = 3(|p| + p^2/|p|) = 6|p|.$$

This value is not bounded away from zero, so H is not uniformly convex. We cannot conclude uniqueness of the solution above.

- 2. (25 points) (Green's Functions for Laplace's PDE, §2.2.4)
 - (a) Write down the fundamental solution $\Phi = \Phi(x)$ for Laplace's PDE (centered at x = 0).
 - (b) Compute $D\Phi(x)$.
 - (c) Compute

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \int_{\{\xi: |\xi-x|=r\}} u(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot (\xi-x)} D\Phi(\xi-x) \cdot n$$

where n is the outward normal to $B_r(x)$.

Solution:

(a)

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x|, & n = 2\\ \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}}, & n > 2. \end{cases}$$

(b)

$$D\Phi(x) = -\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \frac{x}{|x|^n}$$

$$\begin{split} \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{\{\xi: |\xi-x|=r\}} u(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot (\xi-x)} D\Phi(\xi-x) \cdot n \\ &= \lim_{r \to 0} -\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{|\xi-x|=r} u(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot (\xi-x)} \frac{\xi-x}{|\xi-x|^n} \cdot \frac{\xi-x}{|\xi-x|} \\ &= -\frac{1}{n\omega_n r^{n-1}} \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{|\xi-x|=r} u(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot (\xi-x)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{|\partial B_r|} \lim_{r \to 0} \int_{|\xi-x|=r} u(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot (\xi-x)} \\ &= -u(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot (\xi-x)} \Big|_{\xi=x} \\ &= -u(x). \end{split}$$

3. (25 points) (4.7.2) Find a separated variables solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^2\\ u(x,0) = 0, \quad u_y(x,0) = \sin x. \end{cases}$$

Explain your reasoning carefully.

Solution: Setting u = f(x)g(y), we obtain away from f = 0 or g = 0 a separation constant λ such that

$$-\frac{f''}{f} = \frac{g''}{g} = \lambda.$$

Thus, we obtain two ODEs

$$f'' = -\lambda f$$
 and $g'' = \lambda g$.

The first boundary condition gives f(x)g(0) = 0 from which we conclude g(0) = 0, since f(x) = 0 cannot lead to a solution satisfying the other boundary condition. The second boundary condition is $f(x)g'(0) = \sin x$. Therefore,

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin x}{g'(0)}.$$

It follows from this that f'' = -f. In view of the first ODE, we must have $\lambda = 1$. The second ODE with the first boundary condition then yields

$$g(y) = g'(0)\sinh y.$$

The solution is thus,

$$u(x,y) = f(x)g(y) = \sinh y \sin x$$

- 4. (25 points) (Fourier Transform, $\S4.3.1$)
 - (a) Define the Fourier transform of u ∈ L¹(ℝⁿ) ∩ L²(ℝⁿ).
 (b) If u, v ∈ L¹(ℝⁿ) ∩ L²(ℝⁿ), show

$$(u*v)^{\wedge} = (2\pi)^{n/2} \hat{u}\hat{v}.$$

Solution:
(a)

$$\hat{u} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x).$$
(b)

$$(u * v)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} (u * v)(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \left(\int_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} u(x - \eta)v(\eta) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x - \eta)v(\eta) \right)$$

$$= \int_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x - \eta) \right) v(\eta)$$

$$= \int_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(\tilde{x}) \right) v(\eta)$$

$$= \int_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\eta \cdot \xi} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\tilde{x} \cdot \xi} u(\tilde{x}) \right) v(\eta)$$

$$= \int_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\eta \cdot \xi} \hat{u}(\xi) v(\eta)$$

$$= \hat{u}(\xi) \int_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\eta \cdot \xi} v(\eta)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{n/2} \hat{u}(\xi) \hat{v}(\xi).$$