

1. Show that if μ is a signed measure and $0 < \mu E < \infty$, then E contains a positive set. (Hint: Use the Hahn decomposition directly.)
2. We have proved the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym Theorem (Theorem 3.8) for nonnegative finite measures. Complete the following to verify the theorem for nonnegative σ -finite measures.

- (a) Let μ and ν be (nonnegative) σ -finite measures. There exist disjoint sets $X_1, X_2, \dots$ such that

$$\nu(X_j), \mu(X_j) < \infty \quad \forall j.$$

- (b) Apply the theorem to $\nu_j E := \nu(E \cap X_j)$ and $\mu_j E := \mu(E \cap X_j)$ to obtain Lebesgue decompositions

$$\nu_j = (\nu_j)^\perp + (\nu_j)_0$$

and Radon-Nikodym derivatives

$$(\nu_j)_0 E = \int_E f_j.$$

Show that one may assume the following:

- i. $f_j : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,
- ii. $f_j|_{X_j^c} \equiv 0$,
- iii. $f_j \in L^1$.

Consider $\nu^\perp = \sum (\nu_j)^\perp$, $\nu_0 = \sum (\nu_j)_0$, and $f = \sum f_j$. ($\nu^\perp$ and ν_0 are nonnegative measures; f is a nonnegative measurable function.)

- (c) Show that $\nu = \nu^\perp + \nu_0$.
- (d) Show that $\nu^\perp \perp \mu$.
- (e) Show that $\nu_0 \ll \mu$.
From (c-e) we see that ν has a Lebesgue decomposition.
- (f) Show that $\nu_0 E = \int_E f$, so that the existence of the Radon-Nikodym derivative holds as well.
- (g) (Uniqueness of $\nu^\perp$ and ν_0) Let $\nu = \lambda^\perp + \lambda_0$ give another Lebesgue decomposition of ν . Set

$$(\lambda^\perp)_j E := \lambda^\perp(E \cap X_j) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_0)_j E := \lambda_0(E \cap X_j).$$

(These are finite nonnegative measures.) Show that $\nu_j = (\lambda^\perp)_j + (\lambda_0)_j$ gives a Lebesgue decomposition of ν_j . Thus, by the uniqueness in the finite case, $(\nu_j)^\perp = (\lambda^\perp)_j$ and $(\nu_j)_0 = (\lambda_0)_j$. Show from this that $\lambda^\perp = \nu^\perp$ and $\lambda_0 = \nu_0$.

- (h) (Uniqueness of f) Assume $\nu_0 E = \int_E g$ for some $g \geq 0$. Define $(\nu^\perp)_j E := \nu^\perp(E \cap X_j)$ and $(\nu_0)_j E := \nu_0(E \cap X_j) = \int_E g \chi_{X_j}$. Show that $(\nu^\perp)_j = (\nu_j)^\perp$ which is unique and $(\nu_0)_j = (\nu_j)_0$ which is also unique. Conclude from the uniqueness of f_j that $g \chi_{X_j} = f_j$. Show that $g = \sum g \chi_{X_j} = \sum f_j = f$ a.e. $[\mu]$.

3. In the problem above one proves the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem for nonnegative σ -finite measures. Complete the following verifying the theorem for σ -finite measures μ and ν with ν a signed measure.

- (a) ν^+ and ν^- are nonnegative σ -finite measures. (They are also orthogonal.) We have, therefore, unique Lebesgue decompositions

$$\nu^+ = (\nu^+)^\perp + (\nu^+)_0$$

and

$$\nu^- = (\nu^-)^\perp + (\nu^-)_0.$$

Show that

$$\nu = [(\nu^+)^\perp - (\nu^-)^\perp] + [(\nu^+)_0 - (\nu^-)_0]$$

is a Lebesgue decomposition for ν .

- (b) According to the previous part (a), set

$$\nu^\perp = (\nu^+)^\perp - (\nu^-)^\perp$$

$$\nu_0 = (\nu^+)_0 - (\nu^-)_0.$$

From the nonnegative case, $\exists f, g \geq 0$ s.t.

$$(\nu^+)^\perp E = \int_E f$$

and

$$(\nu^-)^\perp E = \int_E g.$$

Show that $\phi = f - g$ is a Radon-Nikodym derivative for ν_0 . (You also need to explain why $\phi^- \in L^1$.)

- (c) (Uniqueness of $\nu^\perp$ and ν_0) Let $\nu = \lambda^\perp + \lambda_0$ be another Lebesgue decomposition for ν .

Let $X = \Lambda \uplus M$ be Lebesgue decomposition sets for $\lambda^\perp$ and μ , i.e., M is null for $\lambda^\perp$ and $\mu\Lambda = 0$.

- i. Show that $\lambda^\perp \perp \lambda_0$ and Λ is null for Λ_0 .
- ii. Show that Λ is null for $(\lambda_0)^\perp$ and M is null for $(\lambda^\perp)^\perp$ (n.b. Ex. 3.1.2)
- iii. Show that the Jordan decomposition of ν is given by

$$\nu^\pm = (\lambda^\perp)^\pm + (\lambda_0)^\pm. \tag{1}$$

(Hint: This is a bit tricky — I think. Let $X = A \uplus B = A^\perp \uplus B^\perp = A_0 \uplus B_0$ be the Hahn decompositions for ν , $\lambda^\perp$, and λ_0 respectively; show $[(\lambda^\perp)^+ + (\lambda_0)^+] A = 0$ by contradiction using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} [(\lambda^\perp)^+ + (\lambda_0)^+] A &= (\lambda^\perp)^+(A \cap \Lambda) + (\lambda_0)^+(A \cap M) \\ &= (\lambda^\perp)^+(A \cap \Lambda \cap B^\perp) + (\lambda_0)^+(A \cap M \cap B_0). \end{aligned}$$

- iv. Show that the Lebesgue decompositions for $\nu^\pm$ are given in (1).
 - v. Use the uniqueness of the Lebesgue decompositions in (1) to conclude that $(\nu^\pm)^\perp = (\lambda^\perp)^\pm$ and $(\nu^\pm)_0 = (\lambda_0)^\pm$.
 - vi. Show that $\lambda^\perp = \nu^\perp$ and $\lambda_0 = \nu_0$.
- (d) (Uniqueness of Radon-Nikodym derivative) Use $\phi \equiv f - g$ from 3(b) above and assume ψ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^- &\in L^1 \\ \nu_0 E &= \int_E \psi = \int_E \psi^+ - \int_E \psi^- \quad \forall E. \end{aligned}$$

- i. Show that the Lebesgue decompositions of $\nu^\pm$ are given by

$$\nu^\pm E = (\nu^\pm)^\perp E + \int_E \psi^\pm.$$

- ii. Use the uniqueness of Lebesgue decompositions in the nonnegative case to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f &= \int_E \psi^- \quad \forall E \\ \int_E g &= \int_E \psi^+ \quad \forall E. \end{aligned}$$

- iii. Use the conclusion of (ii) to show $\psi = \phi$ a.e. $[\mu]$.